AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA	1. CONTR	ACT ID CODE	PAGE C	F PAGES		
					1	2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DAT	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PRO		5. PROJECT	OJECT NO.(If applicable)	
0001	29-Aug-2001	W26GLG11705486				
6. ISSUED BY COL	DACA65	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other	r than item (6) CODE		
CONTRACTING OFFICE (CA/CW) US ARMY ENGR DIST NORFOLK ATTN: CENAO-CT 803 FRONT STREET NORFOLK VA 23510-1096		See Item 6				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			9A. AMEN DACA65-0	DMENT OF S 1-R-0022	SOLICITAT	ION NO.
		X	9B. DATEI 06-Aug-20	D (SEE ITEM 01	11)	
			10A. MOD	. OF CONTRA	ACT/ORDER	R NO.
CODE	FACILITY CODE		10B. DATI	ED (SEE ITEN	M 13)	
11. TH	IIS ITEM ONLY APPLIE	S TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICIT	ATIONS			
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in	Item 14. The hour and date spe	ecified for receipt of Offer	is extended,	X is not ex	tended.	
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a refe RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amen provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the so 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DAT	copies of the amendment; (b) I rence to the solicitation and am RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOF adment you desire to change an olicitation and this amendment,	By acknowledging receipt of this amendment of the amendment of the sendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACT TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED Moffer already submitted, such change may be received.	n each copy of KNOWLEDGM AY RESULT I ade by telegran	the offer submitte MENT TO BE N	ed;	
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DAT	A (II required)					
		DIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/OI DER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM				
A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSU. CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.	ANT TO: (Specify author	ity) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN	ITEM 14 A	RE MADE IN	THE	
B.THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORI office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH				such as change:	s in paying	
C.THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS E	NTERED INTO PURSUA	ANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D.OTHER (Specify type of modification and au	thority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this	document and return co	pies to the is	suing office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFIC where feasible.) AMENDMENT NO. 0001 TO DACA65-01-R-0022					er	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or p			as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	A	1	6C. DATE S	SIGNED
		BY			29-Aug-20	01
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Office	r)		. 5 = 0	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

- 1. THE DUE DATE FOR PHASE I REMAINS UNCHANGED AND IS SEPTEMBER 17, 2001 at 2:00 pm in the U.S. Army Engineer District, Norfolk, 803 Front Street, Norfolk, VA 23510-1096, ATTN: CENAO-SS-C (2nd Floor).
- 2. SECTION 00800: Page 107, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR WAGE DECISION (CONSTRUCTION): DELETE and REPLACE with the attached rates, VA010035, Modification No. 4 dated 7/27/01.
- 3. SECTION 00110: DELETE in its entirety and REPLACE with the attached.
- 4. SECTION 00120: DELETE in its entirety and REPLACE with the attached.

BRS Document Viewer Page 1 of 3

-- - --

General Decision Number VA010035

General Decision Number VA010035 Superseded General Decision No. VA000035 State: Virginia Construction Type: BUILDING County(ies): HAMPTON* *INDEPENDENT CITY OF HAMPTON (INCLUDING LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE AND FORT MONROE) BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (Does not include single family homes and apartments up to and including 4 stories) Modification Number Publication Date 03/02/2001 0 03/09/2001 1 05/04/2001 3 06/01/2001 07/27/2001 COUNTY(ies): HAMPTON* ELEC1340A 12/01/2000 Fringes Rates 2.25+11.25% ELECTRICIANS 18.60 ENGI0147D 05/01/2001 Rates Fringes POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS: Cranes, Under 90 tons 18.88 IRON0079A 05/01/2001 Rates Fringes 4.86+12.4% 18.63 IRONWORKERS, RIGGING ______ PLUM0540A 05/01/2000 Rates Fringes PLUMBERS & PIPEFITTERS (Including 20.25 HVAC Work) 6.37 * SUVA1097A 06/11/1999 Rates ACOUSTICAL CEILING MECHANICS 13.13 16.61 BRICKLAYERS CARPENTERS: Form Work ONLY 12.13 2.15 All Other Work (Excluding Drywall Hanging and Acoustical Ceiling Work) 12.90 2.15 CEMENT FINISHERS 11.38 DRYWALL FINISHERS 12.42 2.15 DRYWALL HANGERS (Including Metal Stud Framing) 13.07 .76 GLAZIERS 16.22 IRONWORKERS, STRUCTURAL 16.80 4.40 LABORERS:

BRS Document Viewer Page 2 of 3

Unskilled	7.72	
Mason Tenders, Brick	7.76	
LATHERS	12.00	
PAINTERS, BRUSH AND ROLLER		
(Excluding Drywall Finishing)	10.94	
PLASTERERS	13.30	
POWER EQUIPMENT OPERATORS:		
Backhoes	11.58	
Forklifts	8.33	
ROOFERS	11.94	
SHEET METAL PANEL INSTALLERS	10.76	.59
TRUCK DRIVERS, DUMP	8.46	

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing operation to which welding is incidental.

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(v)).

In the listing above, the "SU" designation means that rates listed under that identifier do not reflect collectively bargained wage and fringe benefit rates. Other designations indicate unions whose rates have been determined to be prevailing.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

- 1.) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:
- * an existing published wage determination
- * a survey underlying a wage determination
- * a Wage and Hour Division letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
- * a conformance (additional classification and rate) ruling

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be with the Wage and Hour Regional Office for the area in which the survey was conducted because those Regional Offices have responsibility for the Davis-Bacon survey program. If the response from this initial contact is not satisfactory, then the process described in 2.) and 3.) should be followed.

With regard to any other matter not yet ripe for the formal process described here, initial contact should be with the Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Write to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations

Wage and Hour Division

U. S. Department of Labor

200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20210

2.) If the answer to the question in 1.) is yes, then an interested party (those affected by the action) can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Write to:

Wage and Hour Administrator

U.S. Department of Labor

200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and by any information (wage payment

data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3.) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board

U. S. Department of Labor

200 Constitution Avenue, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20210

4.) All decisions by the Administrative Review Board are final.

END OF GENERAL DECISION

-- - --

SECTION 00110 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION 00110 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1.00 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS.

- a. General. Inasmuch as this proposal will describe the capability of the offeror to perform any resultant contract, it should be specific and complete in every detail. The proposal should be prepared simply and economically, providing straight-forward, concise delineation of capabilities to perform satisfactorily the contract being sought. The proposal should therefore be practical, legible, clear and coherent.
- b. Format of Proposals. In order that the evaluation may be accomplished strictly on the merit of the material submitted, no dollar amounts for the proposed work are to be included in the proposal. In order that the evaluation may be accomplished efficiently, the evaluation criteria listed below in this section are to be addressed in order. **WARNING:** The proposals are evaluated in direct correspondence to the evaluation criteria which are included in Section 00120. It is in the best interest of the offerors to format the proposal in the order of the evaluation criteria. If the offeror fails to provide information relating to the criteria or locates the information in another part of the proposal without providing any cross references, the offeror runs the risk of having their proposal receive a lower evaluation by the Government evaluators who were not able to locate the appropriate information.
- c. The proposal shall contain:
- (1) Title Page, including the title of the solicitation, solicitation number, and date of the submittal.
- (2) Table of Contents, including a list of tables or exhibits.
- d. Exceptions to the contractual terms and conditions of the solicitation (e.g., standard company terms and conditions) must not be included in the proposal.

2.00 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS:

- a. Who May Submit.
- (1) Proposals may be submitted by: construction contractors that have associated specifically for this project.
- b. General Requirements.
- (1) In order to effectively and equitably evaluate all proposals, the Contracting Officer must receive information sufficiently detailed to clearly indicate the personnel references provided.

- c. Size of Printed Matter Submissions.
- (1) Written materials: Size A4 [or 8-1/2" x 11"] format. Proposal for Phase I shall be limited to a maximum of 60 typewritten pages (excluding Subcontracting Plan). The government will review only 70 pages. Any documentation beyond the 70 pages will not be evaluated by the technical evaluation board. Proposal for Phase II shall be limited to a maximum of 20 pages.
- d. Where to Submit. Offerors shall submit their proposal packages to Norfolk District at the address shown in Block 8 of Standard Form 1442.
- e. Submission Deadline. Proposals shall be received by the Norfolk District] no later than the time and date specified in Block 13 of Standard Form 1442.
- f. Proposal Requirements and Submission Format. The proposals sought by this solicitation shall contain three categories of submittal information as follows:

(1) PHASE I:

- a. Past Performance: This information shall be submitted in separate three-ring binders labeled "Past Performance". Provide original and five copies.
- b. Project Planning: This information shall be submitted in separate three-ring binders labeled "Project Planning". Provide original and five copies.
- c. Small Business Subcontracting Effort: This information shall be submitted in separate three-ring binders labeled "Subcontracting Effort". Provide original and one copy.

(2) PHASE II: Price

- (3) Pro Forma requirements. This information should be submitted in an envelope labeled "Pro Forma Requirements." This category consists of representations and certifications, subcontracting plan, proposal bonds, completed Standard Form 1442, and schedule of proposed prices. Provide original and one (1) copy at Phase II.
- (4) The proposals shall contain a detailed table of contents. If more than one binder is used, the complete table of contents shall be included in each. Any materials submitted but not required by this solicitation, (such as company brochures), shall be relegated to appendices.
- i. Nonresponsive proposals. Failure to submit all the data indicated in this section may be cause for determining a proposal nonresponsive and, therefore, not considered for evaluation or award.

SECTION 00120 PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD

SECTION 00120 PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD

1. PROPOSAL EVALUATION.

The major factors in Phase One of consideration in the evaluation of proposals received in response to this solicitation are as follows: Technical factors are listed in order of decreasing importance; Factor 1 is more important than Factor 2, which in turn is more important than Factor 3. Within each factor, subfactors are listed in order of decreasing importance.

FACTOR 1 PAST PERFORMANCE

FACTOR 2 PROJECT PLANNING

FACTOR 3 SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING EFFORT

The major factor in Phase Two of consideration in the evaluation of proposals received in response to this solicitation is as follows:

PRICE

2. EVALUATION PROCESS

The proposal and evaluation process for this project will take place in two Phases. Each Phase will present unique requirements to the potential proposers. The proposers responses to these requirements will be evaluated with respect to the evaluation criteria set forth below.

PHASE 1 will concern itself with Proposer's Past Performance; Project Planning and SmallBusiness Subcontracting Effort. All proposals received in response to PHASE 1 will be evaluated and rated. At most, five (5) proposals will move forward into PHASE 2 which will represent the proposer's price.

PHASE 2: The five (at most) proposals which are evaluated to present the most advantages to the Government will receive the Statement of Work, design considerations, and site constraints from the Government. Offerors will also include cost information. Only proposers who reach PHASE 2 will be provided the opportunity to submit a price.

The final evaluation score for each proposal will represent the addition of the evaluation scores received in PHASE 1 and received in PHASE 2 and cost in Phase 2.

3. BASIS OF AWARD

- (1). The Government will award a firm fixed-price contract to that responsible Offeror whose proposal, conforming to the solicitation, is fair and reasonable, and has been determined to be most advantageous to the Government, quality (comprised of technical approach and performance capability factors), price and other factors considered. The rated evaluation criteria and price are considered approximately equal. As evaluation scores and relative advantages and disadvantages become less distinct, differences in price between proposals are of increased importance in determining the most advantageous proposal. Conversely, as differences in price become less distinct, differences in scoring and relative advantages and disadvantages between proposals are of increased importance to the determination.
- (2). The Government reserves the right to accept other than the lowest priced offer. The right is also reserved to reject any and all offers. The basis of award will be a conforming offer, the

price or cost of which may or may not be the lowest. If other than the lowest priced offer is accepted, that offer must be sufficiently more advantageous than the lowest priced offer to justify the payment of additional amounts.

(3). Offerors are reminded to include their best technical and price terms in their initial offer and not to automatically assume that they will have an opportunity to participate in discussions or be asked to submit a revised offer. The Government may make award of a conforming proposal without discussions, if deemed to be within the best interests of the Government.

4. PHASE 1 EVALUATION CRITERIA:

FACTOR I - OFFEROR PAST PERFORMANCE. This factor considers the offeror's performance on past similar projects.

a. PAST PERFORMANCE

Contractor shall submit a list of all military and/or dormitory construction projects over \$10,000,000.00 performed by the construction team that will be assigned this project. Include only projects completed within the last five years or projects currently under construction and over 50% complete. Use the attached form entitled Corporate Experience Form. Provide an organizational chart for this project specifically including the contractor's project manager, superintendent, quality control manager. Should the offerors believe that the number of submissions is inadequate, they may submit a maximum of ten additional forms. These may include work that is not dormitories but is of equal complexity to that being solicited. Use the attached form entitled Corporate Experience Form. Each major subcontractor (design. foundation, masonry, roofing, mechanical, electrical) which is proposed for use on this project should be included on a minimum of three forms. These subcontractor forms may be any combination of projects already submitted for the prime contractor (in which case a separate form will not be required) or separate projects. Include awards, customer letters of commendation, etc. with points of contact and telephone numbers. The government will use references from offeror's submission, the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) performance evaluation and other sources. If the offeror represents the combining of two or more companies for the purpose of this RFP, each company is required to list their project examples including Government contract experiences.

b. EXPERIENCE MODIFIER RATIO

Submit your firm's Experience Modifier Ratio for the last five calendar years along with the computed average of those five. All five of the last five EMRs must be submitted or this subfactor will be considered non-compliant unless extenuating circumstances are satisfactorily explained. If there are extenuating circumstances concerning your rating, provide background information and references for validation.

FACTOR II - PROJECT PLANNING

a. SUMMARY SCHEDULE

Provide a summary schedule showing the proposed sequence and scheduling of work to complete the work within the stated contract duration. Provide a brief narrative description of the most critical activities or phasing and how the proposed schedule accommodates those critical elements.

b. SCHEDULING STAFF AND SOFTWARE

State in the proposal whether project scheduling will be performed with the contractor's own staff or through a consultant. In-house scheduling is preferred. Submit a brief resume' (maximum of

one sheet) of qualifications of the proposed scheduling staff proposed. Identify the scheduling software to be used and state its compatibility with the Resident Management System (RMS).

FACTOR III - SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING EFFORT

a. PAST PERFORMANCE

If large business, contractors are required to submit a recent Standard Form 294, Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts and SF 295, Summary Report to evaluate past performance in support of Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business and Women-Owned Small Businesses. For Small Businesses, contractors are not required to submit a subcontracting plan or a SF 294 or 295, but must certify as a Small Business.

b. SUBCONTRACTING PLAN EFFORT

The following is submitted to satisfy the applicable requirements for the subcontracting plan effort factor. If a large business, contractors are required to submit a subcontracting plan which conforms to the requirements of FAR 52.219-9 and DFARS 252.219-7003. The plan will be evaluated for support of Small Business, Small Disadvantages Business, and Women-Owned Small Business and considering the following:

- 1. The extent to which such firms are specifically identified.
- 2. The extent of commitment to use such firms.
- 3. The complexity and variety of the work small firms are to perform.
- 4. The realism of the proposal.
- **5.** The extent of participation of such firms in terms of the value of the total acquisition.

The goals for subcontracting are as follows:

62% for Small Business 9% for Small Disadvantaged Business 5% for Women Owned Business 2% for Hubzone 3% for Small Business Veteran Owned

Small business offers shall certify their status as Small Business and will be rated Superior for this factor.

5. PHASE 2 CRITERIA:

PRICE

6. RATING SCHEME

Adjective Ratings. The following adjective ratings will be used in evaluation of both the major technical factors and the technical sub-factors. Use uppercase letter ratings for major technical factors as well as the overall rating. Use lowercase letter ratings for technical sub-factors.

- 1. <u>Unacceptable (U).</u> The proposal fails to meet stated criteria and is not capable of becoming acceptable without major revisions.
- 2. <u>Unacceptable but capable of becoming acceptable (UCA)</u>. The proposal/factor fails to adequately satisfy the standards. However, significant weaknesses/deficiencies can be corrected through exchanges.

- Weaknesses/Deficiencies are such that failure to correct may render this major proposal/factor unacceptable.
- 3. <u>Acceptable (A).</u> The proposal essentially satisfies the standards; minor weaknesses, even if not corrected, do not render this proposal/factor unacceptable.
- 4. <u>Superior (S).</u> The proposal exceeds the basic requirements to the extent the technical factor considered as a whole provides significant additional value to the Government.

<u>Overall Rating.</u> Overall proposal ratings shall be assigned with strong consideration given to the most heavily weighted factors.

CORPORATE EXPERIENCE FORM

DACA65-01-R-0016

Offeror:		
Contract Number:		
Location:		
Contract Completion	n Duration:	
Original Value:		Final Value:
Type of Contract:	Fixed Price	_ Final Value:Cost Reimburseable
Project		
Description:		
		s on this project, the trade worked, the dollar previously and the \$ value of subcontract
Customer Contact:		
	Name	
	Organization	
	Address	
	Telephone Number	
Circle one:		
P = Prime ContractorS = Subcontractor	or	

PERSONNEL REFERENCES

DACA65-01-R-0016

Offerors shall use this form to submit the required reference information on all key personnel. The government intends to contact the actual references.

Name of employee:
Name, address and phone number of employer, and point of contact:
Position(s) held:
Years employed:
Types of projects, and dollar value, worked on while employed with subject employer: